

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,330	07/05/2001	Jonathan Goering	4267-14B	7050
75	90 12/05/2002			
Ronald R. Santucci Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP 745 Fifth Avenue			EXAMINER	
			COLE, ELIZABETH M	
New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771 DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	Y
		•		/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		<u>o</u>
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comment	09/899,330	GOERING, JONATHAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth M Cole	1771
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	o ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is \$53 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	1.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to <b>by</b> the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	eived.
Attachment(s)	to product and or or or or 33 The	<del></del>
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	. 6)  Other: .	

Serial Number: 09/9899,330

Art Unit: 1771

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine 1. grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of 2. obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of copending Application No. 09/749,318 and claims 1-26 of copending application 09/796,942. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are not limited to a fabric or fiber-containing material, while the claims of '318 recite that the fibers are woven and the fibers of '942 are interlocked. It would have been obvious Serial Number: 09/9899,330

Art Unit: 1771

to one of ordinary skill in the art to have formed the structure of '318 and '942 using other well known and conventional materials.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for 3. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by a "two dimensional sheet". Does this refer to a planar material? Also, it is not clear what the first portion comes into an abutting relationship with?

In claim 8, it is not clear what is menat by "causing the second portion to come into alignment with itself". How would a portion come into alignment with itself, wouldn't it necessarily always be in alignment with itself? The structure is not clear.

Also, it is not clear how the second portion of the sheet can be formed without sheet material. What is the second portion made of? If the second portion is part of the sheet, wouldn't whatever the second portion is made of also be "sheet material"? If the second portion is a portion of the sheet which has been completely removed, how can this portion be aligned or "collapse"? The claimed structure is not clear.

4. The structure of the claimed invention is unclear enough that an art rejection at this point is not possible. However, Croswell, U.S. Patent No. 6,412,325 teaches a foldable material wherein the fold lines comprise cut out portions or slots to facilitate folding.

Serial Number: 09/**0**899,330 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (703) 308-0037. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Inquiries of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

The fax number for official faxes is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for official after final faxes is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for unofficial faxes is (703) 305-5436.

Elizabeth M. Cole
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1771

e.m.c

December 2, 2002